UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 19-6255

RICKY DARREN SANDERS,	
Petitioner - Ap	ppellant,
v.	
DIRECTOR OF SUMTER-LEE R	EGIONAL DETENTION CENTER,
Respondent -	Appellee,
and	
SUMTER COUNTY FAMILY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SEF	COURT; JUDGE ANGELA TAYLOR; RVICES,
Respondents.	
	District Court for the District of South Carolina, as Senior District Judge. (9:17-cv-02783-MBS)
Submitted: May 23, 2019	Decided: May 29, 2019
Before KING and RICHARDSON	, Circuit Judges, and SHEDD, Senior Circuit Judge.
Dismissed by unpublished per curi	am opinion.
Ricky Darren Sanders, Sr., Appella	ant Pro Se.
Unpublished opinions are not bind	ing precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Ricky Darren Sanders, Sr., seeks to appeal the district court's order dismissing without prejudice his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (2012) petition.* The magistrate judge recommended dismissing without prejudice for failure to exhaust, but the district court ultimately dismissed the petition without prejudice for failure to prosecute pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b), concluding that Sanders' failure to inform the court of his change of address indicated he no longer wanted to pursue § 2254 relief. We dismiss the appeal for lack of jurisdiction because the notice of appeal was not timely filed.

Parties are accorded 30 days after the entry of the district court's final judgment or order to note an appeal, Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(A), unless the district court extends the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), or reopens the appeal period under Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(6). "[T]he timely filing of a notice of appeal in a civil case is a jurisdictional requirement." *Bowles v. Russell*, 551 U.S. 205, 214 (2007).

The district court entered its dismissal order on the docket on April 11, 2018. Thus, Sanders had until May 11, 2018, to file a notice of appeal. Sanders filed his notice of appeal on February 19, 2019. Because Sanders failed to file a timely notice of appeal or obtain an extension or reopening of the appeal period, we deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the appeal. We dispense with oral argument because the

^{*} Our decision in *Goode v. Central Virginia Legal Aid Society, Inc.*, 807 F.3d 619 (4th Cir. 2015), does not preclude this appeal because the district court dismissed the petition for a "reason[] unrelated to the contents of the pleadings." *Id.* at 624.

facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

DISMISSED